arXiv:1702.06573v1 [math.PR] 21 Feb 2017

ON SQUARE FUNCTIONS AND FOURIER MULTIPLIERS FOR NONLOCAL OPERATORS

RODRIGO BAÑUELOS AND DAESUNG KIM

Abstract. Using Itô's formula for processes with jumps, we give a simple direct proof of the Hardy-Stein identity proved in [5]. We extend the proof given in that paper to non-symmetric Lévy-Fourier multipliers.

1. Introduction

Littlewood-Paley quadratic (square) functions have been of interest for many years with many applications in harmonic analysis and probability. On the analysis side, these include the classical square functions obtained from the Poisson semigroup as in [12] and more general heat semigroups as in [11]. On the probability side, these correspond to the celebrated Burkholder-Gundy inequalities which are the bread and butter of modern stochastic analysis. For a short review of some of this literature, we refer the reader to [5]. In [5], the authors prove square function L^p, $1 < p < \infty$, bounds for non-local operators and then applied them to prove L^p bounds for certain Fourier multipliers that arise from transformation of symmetric Lévy processes. The key to the proof in [5], outside of fairly standard and well known uses of the Burkholder-Gundy inequalities and other arguments as in [12], is a Hardy-Stein identity which is proved from properties of the semigroup. In the classical case of the Laplacian, such Hardy-Stein identity follows from, essentially, Green's theorem and the chain rule as in Lemmas 1 and 2 in [12, pp. 86-87]. In the case of Brownian motion, a probabilisitic, Burkholder-Gundy type version of this Hardy-Stein identity, can also be proved as a simple application of Itô's formula. This was done in Theorem 1.1 in [3]; it is also a standard and well known argument. See for example [9, p. 152]. As it turns out, the Itô formula proof can be adapted to processes with jumps to prove the Hardy-Stein identity (Theorem 3.1) used in [5] for nonlocal operators. The purpose of this note is to do exactly this and to show how to obtain a more general result on multipliers arising from non-symmetric Lévy processes. It is also interesting to note that the needed form of the function F in the Hardy-Stein identity is dictated by Itô's formula itself.

Then paper is organized as follows. The Hardy-Stein identity via Itô's formula is proved in §3 where we also note that it holds for more general martingales. In §4 we obtain, by symmetrization, the multipliers for non-symmetric Lévy processes which extend the arguments in [5].

2. Preliminaries

The indicator function of a set A is denoted by $\mathbb{1}_A$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. For a complex number $\xi = x + iy$, $\mathrm{Re}(\xi)$ denotes the real part of ξ. That is, $\mathrm{Re}(\xi) = x$.

The d-dimensional stochastic process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a *Lévy process* if it has independent stationary increments with càdlàg paths. The celebrated Lévy-Khintchine theorem tells us that $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is characterized by its characteristic exponent $\psi(\xi)$ given by $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\cdot X_t}] = e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$, and

$$\psi(\xi) = ib\cdot\xi + \frac{1}{2}\xi\cdot A\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1 - e^{i\xi\cdot y} + i\xi\cdot y\mathbb{1}_{\{|y|\leq 1\}})\nu(dy),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B25; Secondary 60J75.

Key words and phrases. Itô's formula, Hardy-Stein identity, Littlewood-Paley square functions, Fourier multiplier.

Authors supported in part by NSF grant #1403417-DMS; R. Bañuelos PI.

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, A is a positive semi-definite $d \times d$ matrix, and ν is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 \wedge |y|^2)\nu(dy) < \infty.$$

The Lévy process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is determined by the *Lévy triplet* (b, A, ν). The measure ν is called the *Lévy measure*. For instance, the Brownian motion is the case $b = 0$, $\nu = 0$ and A is the identity matrix. We say that $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a *pure jump Lévy process* if $b = 0$ and $A = 0$. We refer the reader to [1] for further information.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a pure jump Lévy process with Lévy measure ν and satisfying the so called *Hartman-Wintner condition*

$$\lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}{\log(1 + |\xi|)} = \infty. \tag{HW}$$

In [8, Theorem 1], V. Knopova and R. L. Schilling proved that (HW) holds if and only if for all $t > 0$, the transition density $p_t(x)$ exists, $p_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\nabla p_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, our assumption guarantees the existence of a smooth transition density for $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Schwartz space on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We define the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform of f by

$$\mathscr{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-ix\cdot\xi}dx,$$
$$\mathscr{F}^{-1}(f)(x) = f^\vee(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi)e^{i\xi\cdot x}d\xi.$$

With our definition, Parseval's formula takes the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}d\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The semigroup P_t associated to $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is defined by $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)]$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{P_t f}(\xi) = e^{-t\psi(\xi)}\widehat{f}(\xi)$. The *infinitesimal generator* of P_t is denoted by $\mathcal{L}$. One can see by [10, Theorem 31.5] that the infinitesimal generator $\mathcal{L}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x + y) - f(x) - y \cdot \nabla f(x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|y|<1\}})\nu(dy). \tag{2.2}$$

We note that the Hartman-Wintner condition (HW) ensures $P_t f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Since the transition density $p_t(x)$ exists, one see that the semigroup P_t is a L^p-contraction for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, which means $\|P_t f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ for any $f \in L^p$.

Next we recall Itô's formula for a general stochastic process with jumps. Let $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastic process defined by

$$Z_t = Z_0 + M_t + A_t + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(s, x)N(ds, dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x)\widetilde{N}(ds, dx), \tag{2.3}$$

where M_t is a continuous square integrable local martingale, A_t is a continuous adapted process of bounded variation with $A_0 = 0$ and $G(t, x) = (G_1(t, x), \cdots, G_d(t, x))$, $H(t, x) = (H_1(t, x), \cdots, H_d(t, x))$ are predictable.

Theorem 2.1 (Itô's formula [7, p. 66])**.** *Let $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a stochastic process given by (2.3) and φ a C^2 function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, we assume that $G_i(t, x) \cdot H_j(t, x) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |H(t, x)| < \infty$$

for all $T > 0$ almost surely. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4)\quad \varphi(Z_t) - \varphi(Z_0) &= \int_0^t \nabla\varphi(Z_s)\cdot dM_s + \int_0^t \nabla\varphi(Z_s)\cdot dA_s + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t D^2\varphi(Z_s)\cdot d\langle M\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(Z_{s-}+G(s,x)) - \varphi(Z_{s-}))\, N(ds,dx) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(Z_{s-}+H(s,x)) - \varphi(Z_{s-}))\, \widetilde{N}(ds,dx) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(Z_{s-}+H(s,x)) - \varphi(Z_{s-}) - H(s,x)\cdot\nabla\varphi(Z_{s-}))\, \nu(dx)ds.\end{aligned}$$

We note that the Lévy-Itô decomposition (see [1, Theorem 2.4.16]) tells us that a pure jump Lévy process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ can be written as

$$X_t = \int_0^t\int_{|x|\geq 1} xN(ds,dx) + \int_0^t\int_{|x|<1} x\widetilde{N}(ds,dx)$$

where $N(t,B) = \sharp\{0\leq s\leq t : \Delta X_s \in B\}$ is the Poisson random measure that describes the jumps of X_t and $\widetilde{N}(t,B) = N(t,B) - t\nu(B)$ is its compensator. Thus, in Itô's formula for $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ we have $Z_0 = 0$, $M = A = 0$, $G = x\cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\geq 1\}}$ and $H = x\cdot\mathbb{1}_{\{|x|<1\}}$.

3. Hardy-Stein Identity

The purpose of this section is to show the Hardy-Stein identity using Itô's formula, Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $F(a,b;p) = |b|^p - |a|^p - pa|a|^{p-2}(b-a)$. If $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we have*

$$(3.1)\qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_tf(x), P_tf(x+y);p)\nu(dy)dtdx.$$

We note that the function $F(a,b;p)$ is the second-order Taylor reminder of the function $x\mapsto |x|^p$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Similarly, we define $F_\varepsilon(a,b;p)$ by

$$(3.2)\qquad F_\varepsilon(a,b;p) = (b^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - (a^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - pa(a^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}(b-a),$$

which is also the second-order Taylor reminder of the function $x\mapsto (x^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. By the convexity, one can easily see that $F(a,b;p)\geq 0$ and $F_\varepsilon(a,b;p)\geq 0$ for all $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$.

Before we present the proof of Theorem 3.1, we give the following lemmas. The first lemma gives basic properties of F and F_ε which allow us to use a limiting argument when we consider the case $1<p<2$. The lemmas is proved in [6].

Lemma 3.2 ([6, Lemma 6, p.198])**.** *Let $p>1$, $F(a,b;p) = |b|^p - |a|^p - pa|a|^{p-2}(b-a)$ and $K(a,b;p) = (b-a)^2(|a|\vee|b|)^{p-2}$. Then we have*

$$c_pK(a,b;p)\leq F(a,b;p)\leq C_pK(a,b;p)$$

for some constants $c_p, C_p > 0$. If $1<p<2$, then we have

$$0\leq F_\varepsilon(a,b;p)\leq \frac{1}{p-1}F(a,b;p)$$

for all $\varepsilon, a, b\in\mathbb{R}$.

Next lemma is an application of Itô's formula.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $T>0$ be fixed, $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1\leq p<\infty$, and $P_tf(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)]$. If we define $Y_t := P_{T-t}f(X_t)$, then we have*

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y) - P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}))\widetilde{N}(ds,dy).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(s,x) = P_{T-s}f(x)$ (which is C^2 by our (HW) assumption), $G(s,x) = x \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\geq 1\}}$, and $H(s,x) = x \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|<1\}}$ and apply Itô's formula to get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,X_t) - \varphi(0,X_0) &= \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\varphi(s,x)|_{x=X_{s-}}ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|\geq 1} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}))\, N(ds,dy) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|<1} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}))\, \widetilde{N}(ds,dy) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|<1} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}) - y\cdot\nabla\varphi(s,X_{s-}))\, \nu(dy)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\varphi(s,x) = -\mathcal{L}P_{T-s}f(x)$, the formula (2.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\varphi(s,x)|_{x=X_{s-}}ds &= -\int_0^t \mathcal{L}P_{T-s}f(X_{s-})ds \\ &= -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}) - y\cdot\nabla\varphi(s,X_{s-})\cdot\mathbb{1}_{\{|y|<1\}}\right)\nu(dy)ds \\ &= -\int_0^t \int_{|y|<1} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}) - y\cdot\nabla\varphi(s,X_{s-}))\,\nu(dy)ds \\ &\quad -\int_0^t \int_{|y|\geq 1} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}))\,\nu(dy)ds.\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from $\widetilde{N}(ds,dy) = N(ds,dy) - \nu(dy)ds$ that

$$\varphi(t,X_t) - \varphi(0,X_0) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(s,X_{s-}+y) - \varphi(s,X_{s-}))\,\widetilde{N}(ds,dy),$$

as desired. □

Lemma 3.4. *The semigroup P_t defined by $P_tf(x) := \mathbb{E}^x[f(X_t)]$ is ultracontractive, meaning that for every $t>0$, there exists a constant $C_t>0$ such that*

$$\|P_tf\|_\infty \leq C_t^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_p \tag{3.3}$$

for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $p \geq 1$ and $C_t \to 0$ as $t\to\infty$.

Proof. Although not explicitly written, this result follows from [8]. Since its proof is quite simple, we present it here for completeness. Note that $e^{-t\psi(\xi)} = \mathbb{E}^0[e^{i\xi\cdot X_t}] = (2\pi)^d\mathcal{F}^{-1}(p_t(\cdot))(\xi)$. Since p_t is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one sees that $e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We claim that $e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $t>0$. To see this, it suffices to show $e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi) = \log(1+|\xi|)f(\xi)$. Then the Hartman-Wintner condition (HW) tells us that $f(\xi)\to\infty$ as $|\xi|\to\infty$. Fix $t>0$ and choose $R = R_t > 0$ so that $tf(\xi) > d+1$ whenever $|\xi|\geq R$. Let $B = B(0,R)$ be a ball centered at 0, of radius R. If we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus B} e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}d\xi + \int_B e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}d\xi =: I + II,$$

it follows from the construction of f that

$$I = \int_{|\xi|\geq R} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|)^{tf(\xi)}}d\xi \leq \int_{|\xi|\geq R}\frac{1}{(1+|\xi|)^{d+1}}d\xi < \infty.$$

Since we have

$$e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)} = |e^{-t\psi(\xi)}| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi\cdot x}p_t(x)dx\right| \leq 1, \tag{3.4}$$

it is easy to see $II \le |B| < \infty$, which implies that $e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as desired. Since $p_t \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can apply the Fourier inversion formula to obtain

$$p_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\mathcal{F}(e^{-t\psi(\xi)}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t\psi(\xi)}e^{-ix\cdot\xi}d\xi.$$

Define

$$C_t = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}d\xi,$$

then it is obvious to see that C_t is finite for each $t > 0$ and $|p_t(x)| \le C_t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Using Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$|P_tf(x)| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)p_t(x,y)dy\right| \le \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^p p_t(x,y)dy\right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C_t^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_p$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which yields (3.3).

Now, we prove the second assertion that $C_t \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. First, we note that $\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)$ is nonnegative by (3.4) so that $e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}$ tends to 0 as $t \to \infty$ for every ξ. Since $e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}$ is integrable for all $t \ge 1$ and bounded by $e^{-\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}$, it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} C_t = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}\,\psi(\xi)}d\xi = 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We begin with the case $p \ge 2$. Define $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$, $Y_t := P_{T-t}f(X_t)$ and $H(s,y) = P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y) - P_{T-s}f(X_{s-})$ for fixed $T > 0$. Let $0 < T_0 < T$, then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s,y)\widetilde{N}(ds,dy)$$

for any $0 \le t \le T_0$. Note that $H(s,y)$ is uniformly bounded in $s \in [0,T_0]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by Lemma 3.4 . We can apply Itô's formula to $\varphi(Y_t)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(Y_t) - \varphi(Y_0) &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(Y_{s-}+H(s,y)) - \varphi(Y_{s-}))\,\widetilde{N}(ds,dy)\\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(Y_{s-}+H(s,y)) - \varphi(Y_{s-}) - H(s,y)\cdot\nabla\varphi(Y_{s-}))\,\nu(dy)ds,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all $0 \le t \le T_0$. Note that $Y_{s-} + H(s,y) = P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y)$ and $Y_{s-} = P_{T-s}f(X_{s-})$. If we take expectation of both sides in (3.5), the first integral on the right hand side will be zero because it is a martingale. We also note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi(Y_{s-}+H(s,y)) - \varphi(Y_{s-}) - H(s,y)\cdot\nabla\varphi(Y_{s-})\\ =\;& |P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y)|^p - |P_{T-s}f(X_{s-})|^p\\ &-pP_{T-s}f(X_{s-})|P_{T-s}f(X_{s-})|^{p-2}(P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y) - P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}))\\ =\;& F(P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y), P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}); p).\end{aligned}$$

By putting $t = T_0$ and taking expectation of both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^x|Y_{T_0}|^p - \mathbb{E}^x|Y_0|^p = \mathbb{E}^x\left[\int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y), P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}); p)\nu(dy)ds\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Since we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbb{E}^x|Y_{T_0}|^p dx = \|P_{T-T_0}f\|_p^p$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbb{E}^x|Y_0|^p dx = \|P_Tf\|_p^p$, taking integral of both sides in (3.6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{T-T_0}f\|_p^p - \|P_Tf\|_p^p &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbb{E}^x\left[\int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}+y), P_{T-s}f(X_{s-}); p)\nu(dy)ds\right]dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_{T-s}f(z+y), P_{T-s}f(z); p)p_s(x,z)\nu(dy)dsdzdx. \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_{T-s}f(z+y), P_{T-s}f(z); p)\nu(dy)dsdz.\end{aligned}$$

Since $F(a,b;p)$ is nonnegative and $\|P_{T-T_0}f\|_p^p \to \|f\|_p^p$ as $T_0 \to T$, the monotone convergence theorem yields

$$\|f\|_p^p - \|P_Tf\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_sf(z+y), P_sf(z); p)\nu(dy)dsdz. \tag{3.7}$$

By Lemma 3.4, one sees that $P_Tf(x) \to 0$, as $T \to \infty$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This and the fact that $\|P_Tf\|_p \le \|f\|_p$ for all $T > 0$ gives that $\lim_{T\to\infty}\|P_Tf\|_p^p = 0$ and since $F(a,b;p)$ is nonnegative, the right hand side of (3.7) converges to the desired limit and this proves the result for $p \ge 2$.

We now consider the case $1 < p < 2$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If we follow the same argument as in the case $p > 2$ with the function $\varphi(x) = (|x|^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}$, we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left((|f(x)|^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - (|P_Tf(x)|^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_\varepsilon(P_sf(z+y), P_sf(z); p)\nu(dy)dsdz,$$

where F_ε is the function in (3.2).

Let us look first look at the left hand side of this identity. Since the function $x \mapsto x^{\frac{p}{2}}$ is $\frac{p}{2}$-Hölder continuous on $[0,\infty)$ for $1 < p < 2$, we have $(|f(x)|^2+\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \varepsilon^p \le C_p|f(x)|^p$ and $(|P_Tf(x)|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \varepsilon^p \le C_p|P_Tf(x)|^p$. Thus the left hand side converges to $\|f\|_p^p - \|P_Tf\|_p^p$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, by the dominated convergence theorem. On the other hand, $0 \le F_\varepsilon(a,b;p) \to F(a,b;p)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and $0 \le F_\varepsilon(a,b;p) \le \frac{1}{p-1}F(a,b;p)$, by Lemma 3.2. Since the integral

$$I(\varepsilon,T) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_\varepsilon(P_sf(z+y), P_sf(z); p)\nu(dy)dsdz$$

is bounded for each $\varepsilon > 0$, Fatou's lemma and the dominated convergence theorem give (see [6, p.199]) that

$$I(\varepsilon,T) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(P_sf(z+y), P_sf(z); p)\nu(dy)dsdz,$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We now finish the proof by letting $T \to \infty$. □

Remark 3.5. One can easily see that the proof also holds for a general martingale. Suppose that a predictable process $H(t,x)$ is uniformly bounded in $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for each $T > 0$ and a martingale M_t is given by a stochastic integral

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s,y)\widetilde{N}(ds,dy).$$

Then, the same argument yields the following Hardy-Stein type identity for M_t that

$$\mathbb{E}|M_\infty|^p - \mathbb{E}|M_0|^p = \int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbb{E}[F(M_{s-}, M_{s-}+H(s,y); p)]\nu(dy)ds$$

when $1 < p < \infty$.

4. Fourier multipliers and square functions

The main application of the results in [5] were to show the boundedness of the Fourier multipliers introduced in [4] on L^p, $1 < p < \infty$, without appealing to martingale transforms. Of course, a disadvantage of these proofs is that we do not obtained the sharp bounds given in [4] which follow from Burkholder's sharp inequalities. In this section, we define the Fourier multiplier of the non-symmetric Lévy process X_t. To detour the difficulties that arise from the asymmetry of ν, we rely on the symmetrization scheme used in the earlier work [4]. Then, we introduce appropriate square functions as in [5].

We start with the statement of the main result. Let $\phi : (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function and $1 < p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q} = 1$. We define $\Lambda_\phi(f,g)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\Lambda_\phi(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (P_t f(x+y) - P_t f(x))(P_t g(x+y) - P_t g(x))\phi(t,y)\nu(dy)dtdx.$$

Let $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function. We define a Fourier multiplier operator $\mathcal{M}_m$ with a multiplier m by $\mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_m f)(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$. By Parseval's formula (2.1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{M}_m f, g\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{M}_m f(x)g(x)dx = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{F}(\mathcal{M}_m f)(\xi)\overline{\mathscr{F}(g)(\xi)}d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}d\xi\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *The bilinear operator $\Lambda_\phi(f,g)$ is absolutely convergent for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Furthermore, there is a unique linear operator S_ϕ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Lambda_\phi(f,g) = \langle S_\phi(f), g\rangle$ and $S_\phi = \mathcal{M}_{m_\phi}$ with the Fourier multiplier m_ϕ given by*

$$m_\phi(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{i\xi\cdot y} - 1|^2 e^{2t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\phi(t,y)\nu(dy)dt.$$

Let $(\widehat{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a càdlàg stochastic process on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ which has the same finite dimensional distribution as $(-X_t)_{t\geq 0}$, independent of $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$. The process $(\widehat{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is said to be the *dual process* of $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Note that it is also a Lévy process and its Lévy triplet is $(0,0,\nu(-dx))$. We define the corresponding semigroup by $\widehat{P}_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}^x[f(\widehat{X}_t)]$. The reason why we call $(\widehat{X}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the dual is due to the fact that for Borel function f and g, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t f(x)g(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\widehat{P}_t g(x)dx.$$

We introduce the symmetrization of X_t. Let $\widetilde{X}_t := X_{\frac{t}{2}} + \widehat{X}_{\frac{t}{2}}$, then it follows from the independence of X_t and $\widehat{X}_t$ that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\cdot\widetilde{X}_t}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\cdot(X_{\frac{t}{2}}+\widehat{X}_{\frac{t}{2}})}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\cdot X_{\frac{t}{2}}}]\mathbb{E}[e^{i\xi\cdot\widehat{X}_{\frac{t}{2}}}] = e^{-\frac{t}{2}\psi(\xi)}e^{-\frac{t}{2}\psi(-\xi)} = e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}.$$

If we define $\widetilde{\nu}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\nu(B)+\nu(-B))$ for any measurable set B, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\cos(\xi\cdot y))\nu(dy) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\cos(\xi\cdot y))\widetilde{\nu}(dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-e^{i\xi\cdot y}+i\xi\cdot y 1_{|y|\leq 1})\widetilde{\nu}(dy) \\ &=: \widetilde{\psi}(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

This shows that not only is $\widetilde{X}_t$ a Lévy process but it also has a Lévy triplet $(0,0,\widetilde{\nu})$ and the characteristic exponent $\widetilde{\psi}(\xi)$. We say $\widetilde{X}_t$ the symmetrization of X_t. Define $\widetilde{P}_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[f(\widetilde{X}_t)]$. Note that the Fourier transform of $\widetilde{P}_t f$ is given by

$$\mathscr{F}(\widetilde{P}_t f)(\xi) = e^{-t\widetilde{\psi}(\xi)}\widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Since $\widetilde{X}_t$ is a pure jump symmetric Lévy process and the measure $\widetilde{\nu}$ satisfies all the assumptions that we impose on ν before, for example (HW) condition, it leads us to apply the result of [5] for the symmetrized process $\widetilde{X}_t$. In particular, we obtain two side estimates for the square functions of $\widetilde{X}_t$. We define the square functions of the symmetrized process $\widetilde{X}_t$ by

$$\widetilde{G}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \widetilde{P}_t f(x+y) - \widetilde{P}_t f(x) \right|^2 \widetilde{\nu}(dy)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_*(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{A(t,x,f)} \left| \widetilde{P}_t f(x+y) - \widetilde{P}_t f(x) \right|^2 \widetilde{\nu}(dy)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $A(t,x,f) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\widetilde{P}_t f(x)| > |\widetilde{P}_t f(x+y)|\}$. The following lemma is found in [5, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $2 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there are constants c_p and C_p depending only on p such that*

$$c_p \|f\|_p \le \|\widetilde{G}(f)\|_p \le C_p \|f\|_p.$$

If $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then we have

$$d_p \|f\|_p \le \|\widetilde{G}_*(f)\|_p \le D_p \|f\|_p.$$

for some d_p and D_p depending only on p.

Before going through the proof of Theorem 4.1, we address a measure theoretic lemma which plays an important role in the symmetrization argument. Define $\overline{\nu}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\nu(B) - \nu(-B))$ for any measurable sets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 4.3. *There is a measurable function $r(y)$ such that $\overline{\nu}(dy) = r(y)\widetilde{\nu}_s(dy)$. Furthermore, the function $r(y)$ is bounded $\widetilde{\nu}$-a.s. with $\|r\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}} \le 1$.*

Proof. The existence of such measurable function follows from the Radon-Nikodym theorem. Let us explain this in detail. Since we assume that $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1 \wedge |x|^2)\nu(dx) < \infty$, the measure ν is σ-finite. So are $\widetilde{\nu}$ and $\overline{\nu}$ obviously. Suppose $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable set such that $\widetilde{\nu}(B) = 0$. Since ν is a positive measure, we have $\nu(B) = \nu(-B) = 0$, which implies $\overline{\nu}(B) = 0$. Thus $\overline{\nu}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\widetilde{\nu}$. The Radon-Nikodym theorem tells us now that there is a measurable function $r(y)$ such that $\overline{\nu}(dy) = r(y)\widetilde{\nu}(y)$.

To see $r(y)$ is bounded, we consider the set $B_\varepsilon := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |r(y)| > 1 + \varepsilon\}$ for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. From the relation $\overline{\nu}(dy) = r(y)\widetilde{\nu}(y)$ obtained above, we have $\overline{\nu}(B_\varepsilon) > (1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\nu}(B_\varepsilon)$. It then yields $\varepsilon\nu(B_\varepsilon) + (2+\varepsilon)\nu(-B_\varepsilon) < 0$ so that $\nu(B_\varepsilon) = \nu(-B_\varepsilon) = 0$. Therefore, $r(y)$ is bounded $\widetilde{\nu}$-a.s. and $\|r\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}} \le 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The first argument is directly obtained by Theorem 3.1. Indeed, we define

$$G(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t f(x+y) - P_t f(x)|^2 \nu(dy)dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It then follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_\phi(f,g)| &\le \|\phi\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |P_t f(x+y) - P_t f(x)||P_t g(x+y) - P_t g(x)|\nu(dy)dtdx \\ &\le \|\phi\|_\infty \|G(f)\|_2 \|G(g)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Theorem 3.1 implies that $\Lambda_\phi(f,g)$ is absolutely convergent.

Let us show the second assertion. We firstly use Parseval's formula (2.1) so as to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_\phi(f,g)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathscr{F}(P_tf(\cdot+y)-P_tf(\cdot))(\xi)\overline{\mathscr{F}(P_tg(\cdot+y)-P_tg(\cdot))(\xi)}\phi(t,y)d\xi\nu(dy)dt\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)e^{-t\psi(\xi)}\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)e^{-t\psi(\xi)}\widehat{g}(\xi)}\phi(t,y)d\xi\nu(dy)dt\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1|^2e^{-2t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}\phi(t,y)d\xi\nu(dy)dt
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(\xi)$ is the characteristic exponent of $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Notice that we have used the fact that

$$\mathscr{F}(P_tf(\cdot+y)-P_tf(\cdot))(\xi)=(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)\mathscr{F}(P_tf)(\xi)=(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)e^{-t\psi(\xi)}\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Using the identity $\nu=\widetilde{\nu}+\overline{\nu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\phi(f,g) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1|^2e^{-2t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}\phi(t,y)d\xi\widetilde{\nu}(dy)dt\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1|^2e^{-2t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}\phi(t,y)d\xi\overline{\nu}(dy)dt
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\nu}(B)=\frac{1}{2}(\nu(B)+\nu(-B))$ and $\overline{\nu}(B)=\frac{1}{2}(\nu(B)-\nu(-B))$ for any measurable set B. By Lemma 4.3, there is a measurable function $r(y)$ such that $\overline{\nu}(dy)=r(y)\widetilde{\nu}(dy)$ with $\|r\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\leq 1$. If we define $\eta(t,y)=\phi(t,y)(1+r(y))$, then η is bounded $\widetilde{\nu}$-a.s. Thus we now obtain

$$\Lambda_\phi(f,g)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1|^2e^{-2t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{g}(\xi)}\eta(t,y)d\xi\widetilde{\nu}(dy)dt.$$

We consider $\widetilde{X}_t$ and $\widetilde{P}_t$, the symmetrization of X_t and P_t. Since the characteristic exponent of $\widetilde{X}_t$ is the real part of $\psi(\xi)$, $\widetilde{\psi}(\xi)=\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))$, and

$$\mathscr{F}(\widetilde{P}_tf(\cdot+y)-\widetilde{P}_tf(\cdot))(\xi)=(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)\mathscr{F}(\widetilde{P}_tf)(\xi)=(e^{i\xi\cdot y}-1)e^{-t\,\mathrm{Re}(\psi(\xi))}\widehat{f}(\xi),$$

thereby obtaining by Parseval's formula (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\phi(f,g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\widetilde{P}_tf(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tf(x))(\widetilde{P}_tg(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tg(x))\eta(t,y)\widetilde{\nu}(dy)dtdx\\
&=: \widetilde{\Lambda}_\eta(f,g). \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

To show the boundedness of $\Lambda_\phi(f,g)$, we will use square functions defined in (4.1). It is enough to show the case $p>2$ and $1<q<2$. Note that $\|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}$ is finite and $\|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\leq 2\|\phi\|_\infty$. It then follows from Cauchy-Schwartz and Hölder inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widetilde{\Lambda}_\eta(f,g)| &\leq \|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\widetilde{P}_tf(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tf(x)||\widetilde{P}_tg(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tg(x)|\widetilde{\nu}(dy)dtdx\\
&\leq 2\|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty\int_{A(t,x,g)}|\widetilde{P}_tf(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tf(x)||\widetilde{P}_tg(x+y)-\widetilde{P}_tg(x)|\widetilde{\nu}(dy)dtdx\\
&\leq 2\|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\widetilde{G}(f)(x)\widetilde{G}_*(g)(x)dx\\
&\leq 2\|\eta\|_{\infty,\widetilde{\nu}}\|\widetilde{G}(f)\|_p\|\widetilde{G}_*(g)\|_q
\end{aligned}$$

where $A(t,x,g):=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^d:|\widetilde{P}_tg(x)|>|\widetilde{P}_tg(x+y)|\}$. By Lemma 4.2 and (4.2), we obtain

$$\Lambda_\phi(f,g)\leq 4C_pD_q\|\phi\|_\infty\|f\|_p\|g\|_q.$$

Therefore, the Riesz representation theorem yields that there is a unique linear operator S_ϕ satisfying $\Lambda_\phi(f,g)=\langle S_\phi(f),g\rangle$. □

References

[1] D, Applebaum, *Lévy processes and stochastic calculus*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **116**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009).

[2] D. Applebaum and R. Bañuelos, *Martingale transform and Lévy processes on Lie groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** no. 4 (2014), 1109–1138.

[3] R. Bañuelos, *Martingale transforms and related singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **293** no.2 (1986).

[4] R. Bañuelos, A. Bielaszewski, and K. Bogdan, *Fourier multipliers for non-symmetric Lévy processes*, Marcinkiewicz centnary volume, Banach Center Publ. **95** (2011), 9–25.

[5] R. Bañuelos, K. Bogdan and T. Luks, *Hardy-Stein identities and square functions for semigroups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **94** no.2 (2016).

[6] K. Bogdan, B. Dyda and T. Luks, *On Hardy spaces of local and nonlocal operators*, Hiroshima Math. J. **44** no.2 (2014), 193–215.

[7] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes 2nd edition*, North-Holland Publishing Co. (1989).

[8] V. Knopova, and R. L. Schilling, *A note on the existence of transition probability densities of Lévy processes*, Forum Math. **25** no.1 (2013), 125–149.

[9] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continous Martingales and Brownian Motion,* Springer-Verlag **239**, New York, (1990).

[10] K. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **68**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).

[11] Elias M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 63. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1970).

[12] Elias M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1970).

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA

E-mail address: banuelos@purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, USA

E-mail address: kim1636@purdue.edu